

EEO-3 data are used by the Commission to investigate charges of employment discrimination against local referral unions. Pursuant to Section 709(d) of Title VII, EEO-3 data are shared with 89 state and local fair employment practices agencies, and with other federal agencies.

**Burden Statement:** The respondent burden for this collection is minimal. The estimated number of respondents included in the EEO-3 survey is 3,000 local unions. The estimated number or responses per respondent is one EEO-3 report, taking an estimated one and one half hours to complete. The total number of burden hours therefore is estimated to be 4,500.

This is an average burden estimate and is based on a long history (since 1985) of identical reporting experience. The burden is dependent on the size of the local union and on the number of referrals made by the union during the reporting period. Smaller unions may well take under an hour to complete the report. Over the years, the Commission has reduced the reporting and record keeping burden by eliminating all local unions with fewer than 100 members, by requiring record keeping for a two month period only, by changing the data collection instrument, and by changing the frequency of the data collection from an annual to a biennial basis. Further reductions, such as filing by diskette or magnetic tape, have been less successful as local unions appear less likely to have computerized record keeping and reporting capabilities.

Dated: November 26, 1996.

For the Commission.

Kassie A. Billingsley,  
*Director, Financial and Resource  
Management Service.*

[FR Doc. 96-30594 Filed 11-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

## FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, D.C. Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W., 9th Floor. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, within 10 days after the date of the Federal Register in which this notice appears. The

requirements for comments are found in section 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

**Agreement No.:** 224-201005.

**Title:** Port of Oakland/Hyundai Merchant Marine Co. Ltd. Terminal Use Agreement.

**Parties:** Port of Oakland ("Port"), Hyundai Merchant Marine Co. Ltd. ("Hyundai").

**Synopsis:** The proposed agreement permits Hyundai the nonexclusive use of assigned premises at the Port's Seventh Street Terminal for the berthing, loading and discharge of vessels through August 31, 2001.

**Agreement No.:** 224-201006.

**Title:** Port of New Orleans/Ceres Gulf, Inc. Terminal Lease Agreement.

**Parties:** Port of New Orleans Ceres Terminals, Inc.

**Synopsis:** The proposed Agreement replaces a former lease agreement between the parties under Agreement No. 224-010600-003. The terms of the new Agreement are essentially the same as the former agreement, and is filed to reflect the relocation of the Ceres terminal.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: November 25, 1996.

Ronald D. Murphy,

*Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-30566 Filed 11-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than December 13, 1996.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (John E. Yorke, Senior Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

*I. Lyle L. and Carolyn Fiene*, both of Reeds Spring, Missouri; to retain a total of 36.84 percent of the voting shares of Gardner Bancorp, Inc., Gardner, Kansas, and thereby indirectly acquire First Kansas Bank and Trust Company, Gardner, Kansas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 25, 1996.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 96-30532 Filed 11-29-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-F

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act, including whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices" (12 U.S.C. 1843). Any request for a hearing must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would